## RESIDENTIAL PETITION FOR REVIEW OF VALUATION

2016 FILED FOR TAX YEAR

B2130R (02/2011)

PURSUANT TO A.R.S. TITLE 42, Ch. 15, Art. 3 and Ch. 16, Art. 1-5

The County Assessor reserves the right to reject any petition not meeting statutory requirements. Only one petition for each parcel will

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

See instructions for complete filing requirements.

de accepted, Any	dupacate petitions will be returned.			
• COMPLETE SECTION	ONS 1 THROUGH 8 WHERE APPLICABLE.	TYPE OR PRINT.		
1. DATE FILED MA	RCH M, 2015COUNTY COCHISE	BOOK 5	MAP PAGE 9	PARCEL 206-23-08
2A. IF THIS PROPERTY	IS RENTED TO SOMEONE OTHER THAN	A FAMILY MEMBER, CHECK HERE	2B. MULTIPLE PA	ARCELS? YES INO X
3A. OVVNER'S NAME		3B. MAIL DECISION TO: (IF D	IFFERENT THAN	3A)
ROY	C MARKLE	NAME		
	N. PAINT PONY LANE		$\times$	
	HISE ARIZONA 85606	ADDRESS	7.	
CITY STATE ZIP COD	E S CHANGED CHECK HERE	CITY STATE ZIP CODE	-11	
	ED BY: (Specify: owner, Agent, Attorney, e			
	C. MARKLE			
NAME			ELEPHONE	T bC b
ADDRESS	N. PAINT PONY LANE	COLHISE AR	120NA 85	BCB
AGENTS ONLY: STATE	BOARD OF APPRAISAL NUMBER	SBOE NUN	BER	
5. SASIS FOR THIS PE	TITION: MARKET SALES APPROACH	COST APPROACH COTH	ER X (explain	below)
Additional documents sub	mitted must contain the book, map, and pare	el number and be attached to the petition	in order to be con	sidered by the Assessor.
Evidence contained in this	s appeal could be the basis for either increasi	ing or decreasing the valuation or changing	g the legal classific	cation.
ISEE AT	TACHED LETTER PAGE	40		
(SEE HI	ACHED LE TER PAGE		<del></del>	
E. VALUE SHOWN ON	Cha Cache	LIMITED	LEGAL	ASMT
NOTICE OF VALUE		PROPERTY \$ 121,803	CLASS 3	RATIO 10.0
7. OWNER'S OPINION OF VALUE	FULL CASH VALUE \$ \$5.000	LIMITED PROPERTY VALUE \$ 85.000	LEGAL 3	ASMT RATIO /O.D
B. THEREBY AFFIRM T	HAT THE INFORMATION INCLUDED OR AT			1, , , ,
TRUE AND CORREC		FOR SBOE (IN MARICO	PA AND PIMA CO	OUNTIES ONLY):
X /2004 C	Make	If you want this appeal to	be heard "On The	Record* check here.
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER OR REPRESENTATIVE  This means that neither you, the Assessor, your Agent, or Attorney (if applicable) will appear before the State Board of				
TELEPHONE	EMAIL ADDRESS	Equalization to offer testin typed information with this		
ASSESSORS	FULL CASH	LIMITED	LEGAL	ASMT
DECISION	VALUE \$ 121.803	PROPERTY \$ 121.803	CLASS 3	RATIO 10
2420		TVALUE S 121,000		10
BASIS FOR DECISIO	SEE ATTACHED			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ONEY
			/,	
03-16-15	.06-17-15 LE	S WOLE AGEL DEDUTY ASSESSOR	Telse ?	- S
DATE RECEIVED	DATE DECISION MAILED	S WOLSLAGEL, DEPUTY ASSESSOR REVIEWED BY		3
COUNTY BOARD OF	FULL CASH	LIMITED	LEGAL	ASMT \$
DECISION	VALUE \$	VALUE \$	CLASS	RATIO E
BASIS FOR DECISIO	AI.			ASMT PATIC II
	10 E @ 62 NIE 5102	40 2 X 2	1306	P. S.
		76 6 80 7	1	
	SCOSIAUGUAS JO GUYGE			
	COCHISE COONLY  RECEIVED	**************************************	SOCHIEF	
DATE RECEIVED	DATE DECISION MAILED	CHAIRMAN OR CLERK OF THE BOARD	<del></del> •	
		PROPERTY OF STEEL OF THE BURGED		



## County of Cochise OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ASSESSOR

P.O. DRAWER 168 BISBEE, ARIZONA 85603 Philip S. Leiendecker Assessor

> Felix Dagnino Chief Deputy

TAX YEAR	2016
APPEAL#	3898
PARCEL#	206-23-088
ASSESSOR DECISION DATE	5/19/2015
PHYSICAL REVIEW (Y/N)	N
FCV	\$121,803
LPV	\$121,803
LEGAL CLASS	3
ASSESSMENT RATIO	10%
APPRAISER	Wolslagel

BASIS FOR DECISION: On March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2013, petitioner successfully completed and submitted the required forms requesting that his property value continue to remain fixed at its current amount of \$121,803. Pursuant to the Arizona State Constitution, Article 9, Section 18, (Proposition 104) the property value of a qualifying resident over the age of 65 would be fixed at the current amount and remain unchanged for a period of 3 consecutive years.

Recommend no change in value as the current value was established via Proposition 104, at the owner's request, coupled with the fact that the County Assessor has no legal authority to supersede the limits and protections of the Arizona State Constitution.

APPROVED \_\_\_\_

To: Philip S. Leiendecker - Cochise County Assessor

Re: Review of Valuation

Currently, I have a Senior Property Valuation Freeze. However, the property values have decreased where I live in Richland Ranchetts due to our water wells going dry, the land subsiding and the earth fissures that are present here. Hopefully, the Arizona Department of Water Resources can find ways to mitigate this problem.

Meanwhile, the road conditions in Richland Ranchetts have gotten so bad that the public school buses have ceased driving on them. The County could mitigate this problem. Arizona State Statute 28-6705 allows the County to expend Highway User Revenue Funds (HURF) on roads laid out, constructed and opened prior to June 13, 1975 even if such roads were not constructed in accordance with Subsection "A". The roads in Richland Ranchetts were created in March, 1963 and are eligible for (HURF) funds.

The sustainability of the County's Property Tax Revenues is dependent on the County's ability to mitigate declining property values.

Sincerely,

Roy C. Markle

Roy C. Markle

## RECEIVED COCHISE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## 2015 JUN 29 P 2: 23

June 24,2015

To: Cochise County Board of Equalization

Re: Petition for Review

The Senior Property Valuation Freeze (Proposition 104) was created to protect qualifying senior citizens on low fixed-incomes from being taxed out of their homes in times of increasing property values. However, in times of decreasing property values these senior citizens are being victimized by having to pay property taxes on "Frozen Valuations", which in all fairness, must be decreased accordingly to the decline in property values in the area where their properties are located.

Since I got my Senior Property Valuation Freeze the value of my property has declined and I am not going to be victimized in this situation. I now request that my appeal of my property valuation be approved. I am sure this dilemma has already been before the State Tax Court.

Sincerely,

Roy C. Markle

Roy C. Markle